

ON PLANE MAXIMAL CURVES

A. COSSIDENTE, J.W.P. HIRSCHFELD, G. KORCHMÁROS, AND F. TORRES

ABSTRACT. The number N of rational points on an algebraic curve of genus g over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ satisfies the Hasse-Weil bound $N \leq q + 1 + 2g\sqrt{q}$. A curve that attains this bound is called maximal. With $g_0 = \frac{1}{2}(q - \sqrt{q})$ and $g_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)^2$, it is known that maximal curves have $g = g_0$ or $g \leq g_1$. Maximal curves with $g = g_0$ or g_1 have been characterized up to isomorphism. A natural genus to be studied is

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{8}(\sqrt{q} - 1)(\sqrt{q} - 3),$$

and for this genus there are two non-isomorphic maximal curves known when $\sqrt{q} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Here, a maximal curve with genus g_2 and a non-singular plane model is characterized as a Fermat curve of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a non-singular model of a projective, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve $\mathcal{X}$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements, the number N of its $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points satisfies the Hasse-Weil bound, namely (see [We], [Sti, §V.2])

$$|N - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

If $\mathcal{X}$ is plane of degree d , then this bound implies that

$$(1.1) \quad |N - (q + 1)| \leq (d - 1)(d - 2)\sqrt{q}.$$

These bounds are important for applications in Coding Theory (see, for example, [Sti]) and in Finite Geometry (see [H, Ch. 10]). In these subjects one is often interested in curves with *many* $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points and, in particular, *maximal curves*, that is, curves where N reaches the upper Hasse-Weil bound.

The approach of Stöhr and Voloch [SV] to the Hasse-Weil bound shows that an upper bound for N can be obtained via $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear series. This upper bound depends not only on q and g , as does the Hasse-Weil bound, but also on the dimension and the degree of the linear series.

In [HK1] an upper bound for N was found in the case that $\mathcal{X}$ is a plane curve. It turns out that this bound is better than the upper bound from (1.1) under certain conditions on d and q . The bound in [HK1] is not symmetrical in the different types of branches. Two types of branches are distinguished, both centred at $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of $\mathcal{X}$: (a) the branches of order r and class r ; (b) the branches of order r and class different from r . Let

M_q and M'_q be the number of branches of type (a) and (b) respectively, each counted r times. If d and q satisfy certain restrictions, then

$$(1.2) \quad 2M_q + M'_q \leq d(q - \sqrt{q} + 1),$$

and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-singular plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. This result is the starting point of our research.

An example of a curve attained the equality in (1.2) is provided by the Fermat curve $\mathcal{F}$ (see §3) with equation, in homogeneous coordinates (U, V, W) ,

$$(1.3) \quad U^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + V^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + W^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = 0.$$

The main result of the paper is to show the following converse (see §5).

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-singular plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$, then it is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$.*

This result is connected to recent investigations on the genus of maximal curves [FT], [FGT], [FT1]. The genus g of a maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{q} - 1)$ [Ih], [Sti, §V.2] with equality holding if and only if $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to the Hermitian curve with equation

$$u^{\sqrt{q}+1} + v^{\sqrt{q}+1} + w^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0,$$

[R-Sti]. In [FT] it was observed that

$$g \leq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)^2 \quad \text{if} \quad g < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{q} - 1),$$

a result conjectured in [Sti-X]. Also, if q is odd and

$$\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)(\sqrt{q} - 2) < g \leq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)^2,$$

then $g = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)^2$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to the non-singular model of the curve with affine equation

$$y^q + y = x^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2},$$

[FGT, Thm. 3.1], [FT1, Prop. 2.5]. In general, the situation for either q odd and $g \leq \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} - 1)(\sqrt{q} - 2)$ or q even and $g \leq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{q} - 2)$ is unknown. In the latter case, an example where equality holds is provided by the non-singular model of the curve with affine equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^t y^{\sqrt{q}/2^i} = x^{q+1}, \quad \sqrt{q} = 2^t,$$

and it seems that this example may be the only one up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism [AT].

In [FGT, §2] the maximal curves obtained from the affine equation

$$y^{\sqrt{q}} + y = x^m,$$

where m is a divisor of $(\sqrt{q} + 1)$, are characterized by means of Weierstrass semigroups at an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational point; the genus of these curves is $g = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} - 1)(m - 1)$. If $m = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$

and $\sqrt{q} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we find two curves of genus $\frac{1}{8}(\sqrt{q}-1)(\sqrt{q}-3)$, namely the curve with affine equation

$$y^{\sqrt{q}} + y = x^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/4}$$

and the curve $\mathcal{X}$ of our main result. It turns out that these curves are not $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -isomorphic (see Remark 4.1(ii)). As far as we know, this is the first example of two maximal curves of a given genus that are not $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic for infinitely many values of q .

As in [HK], [HK1], [FT], [FGT], [FT1], the key tool used to carry out the research here is the approach of Stöhr and Voloch [SV] to the Hasse-Weil bound applied to suitable $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear series on the curve.

Convention. From now on, the word *curve* means a projective, geometrically irreducible, non-singular, algebraic curve.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section we summarize background material concerning Weierstrass points and Frobenius orders from [SV, §§1–2].

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a curve of genus g defined over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ equipped with the action of the Frobenius morphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a g_d^r on $\mathcal{X}$ and suppose that it is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then associated to $\mathcal{D}$ there exist two divisors on $\mathcal{X}$, namely the *ramification divisor*, denoted by $R = R^{\mathcal{D}}$, and the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -*Frobenius divisor*, denoted by $S = S^{\mathcal{D}} = S^{(\mathcal{D}, q)}$. Both divisors describe the geometrical and arithmetical properties of $\mathcal{X}$; in particular, the divisor S provides information on the number $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of $\mathcal{X}$.

For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, let $j_i(P)$ be the i th $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -order, $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_i^{\mathcal{D}}$ be the i -th $\mathcal{D}$ -order ($i = 0, \dots, r$), and $\nu_i = \nu_i^{(\mathcal{D}, q)}$ be the i -th $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius order of $\mathcal{D}$ ($i = 0, \dots, r-1$). Then the following properties hold:

1. $\deg(R) = (2g-2) \sum_{i=0}^r \epsilon_i + (r+1)d$;
2. $j_i(P) \geq \epsilon_i$ for each i and each P ;
3. $v_P(R) \geq \sum_{i=0}^r (j_i(P) - \epsilon_i)$ and equality holds if and only if $\det((\epsilon_j^{(P)})) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$;
4. (ν_i) is a subsequence of (ϵ_i) ;
5. $\deg(S) = (2g-2) \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \nu_i + (q+r)d$;
6. For each i and for each $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $\nu_i \leq j_{i+1}(P) - j_1(P)$;
7. For each $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $v_P(S) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (j_{i+1}(P) - \nu_i)$ and equality holds if and only if $\det((\epsilon_j^{(P)})) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Therefore, if $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, properties (6) and (7) imply

8. $v_P(S) \geq r j_1(P)$.

Consequently, from (5) and (8), we obtain the main result of [SV], namely

9. $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq \deg(S)/r$.

3. PLANE MAXIMAL CURVES OF DEGREE $(\sqrt{q}+1)/2$

Throughout this section we use the following notation:

- (a) Σ_1 is the linear series on a plane curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ obtained from lines of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and Σ_2 is the series obtained from conics;
- (b) for $i = 1, 2$, the divisor R_i is the ramification divisor and S_i is the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius divisor associated to Σ_i ;
- (c) $j_n^i(P)$ is the n -th (Σ_i, P) -order;
- (d) $\epsilon_n^i = \epsilon_n^{\Sigma_i}$ and $\nu_n^i = \nu_n^{(\Sigma_i, q)}$;
- (e) $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d . If $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is classical for Σ_1 .*

Proof. See [Par, Corollary 2.2] for $p > 2$, and [Ho, Corollary 2.4] for $p \geq 2$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d with $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $2 < d < (\sqrt{q} + 1)^2/3$. Then there exists $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ whose (Σ_1, P_0) -orders are 0, 1, 2.*

Proof. Suppose that $j_2^1(P) > 2$ for each $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then by §2(3) and the previous lemma we would have $v_P(R_1) \geq 1$ for such points P . Consequently, by §2(1) and the maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ it follows that

$$\deg(R_1) = 3(2g - 2) + 3d \geq \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (\sqrt{q} + 1)^2 + \sqrt{q}(2g - 2)$$

so that

$$0 \geq (\sqrt{q} + 1)(\sqrt{q} + 1 - \frac{3d}{\sqrt{q} + 1}) + (2g - 2)(\sqrt{q} - 3),$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Throughout the remainder of the paper, let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular maximal curve of degree d . We have the following relation between (Σ_1, P) -orders and (Σ_2, P) -orders for $P \in \mathcal{X}$.

Remark 3.3 (GV, p. 464). For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, the following set

$$\{j_1^1(P), j_2^1(P), 2j_1^1(P), j_1^1(P) + j_2^1(P), 2j_2^1(P)\}$$

is contained in the set of (Σ_2, P) -orders.

Now suppose that d satisfies the hypotheses in Corollary 3.2 and let $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be as in this corollary. Then, by Remark 3.3 and the fact that $\dim(\Sigma_2) = 5$, the (Σ_2, P_0) -orders are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and $j := j_5^2(P_0)$ with $5 \leq j \leq \sqrt{q}$. Therefore, by §2(2),(6),(4),

- (a) the Σ_2 -orders are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and $\epsilon := \epsilon_5^2$ with $5 \leq \epsilon \leq j$;
- (b) the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders are 0, 1, 2, 3 and $\nu := \nu_4^2$ with $\nu \in \{4, \epsilon\}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $d = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. If $\sqrt{q} \geq 11$, then*

- (1) *the Σ_2 -orders are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, $\sqrt{q}$;*

(2) the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders of Σ_2 are $0, 1, 2, 3, \sqrt{q}$.

Proof. The curve $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies the hypotheses in Corollary 3.2. So, with the above notation, we have to show that $\epsilon = \nu = \sqrt{q}$.

(a) First it is shown that $\nu = \epsilon$.

We have already seen that $\nu \in \{4, \epsilon\}$. From §2(5),(8) and the maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ we have that

$$\deg(S_2) = (6 + \nu)(2g - 2) + (q + 5)(\sqrt{q} + 1) \geq 5\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 5(\sqrt{q} + 1)^2 + 5\sqrt{q}(2g - 2),$$

so that

$$(3.1) \quad (\sqrt{q} - 5)(\sqrt{q} - 6 - \nu) \leq 0.$$

Then if $\nu = 4$, we would have $\sqrt{q} \leq 10$, a contradiction.

(b) Now, p divides ϵ (see [Ho-G, Corollary 3]). From §2(6) and (a),

$$\nu = \epsilon \leq j_5(P_0) - j_1(P_0) \leq \sqrt{q}.$$

Therefore, from (3.1), the fact that $\sqrt{q} > 5$ and (a),

$$\epsilon \in \{\sqrt{q} - 6, \sqrt{q} - 5, \sqrt{q} - 4, \sqrt{q} - 3, \sqrt{q} - 2, \sqrt{q} - 1, \sqrt{q}\}.$$

Since $p > 2$ and p divides ϵ , the possibilities are reduced to the following:

$$\epsilon \in \{\sqrt{q} - 6, \sqrt{q} - 5, \sqrt{q} - 3, \sqrt{q}\}.$$

If $\epsilon = \sqrt{q} - 6$, then $p = 3$ and by the p -adic criterion [SV, Corollary 1.9] $\epsilon = 6$ and so $\sqrt{q} = 12$, a contradiction.

If $\epsilon = \sqrt{q} - 5$, then $p = 5$. Since $(\sqrt{q} - 5) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, by the p -adic criterion we would have that 5 is also a Σ_2 -order, a contradiction.

If $\epsilon = \sqrt{q} - 3$, then $p = 3$ and so $\sqrt{q} = 9$, which is eliminated by the hypothesis that $\sqrt{q} \geq 11$.

Hence $\epsilon = \sqrt{q}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Now the main result of this section can be stated. We recall that a maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is equipped with the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear series $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} := |(\sqrt{q} + 1)P_0|$, $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, which is independent of P_0 and provides a lot of information about the curve (see [FGT, §1]).

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. Suppose that $\sqrt{q} \geq 11$. Then the linear series $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the linear series Σ_2 cut out by conics.*

Proof. First it is shown that, for $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the intersection divisor of the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{C}_P^{(2)} \cdot \mathcal{X} = (\sqrt{q} + 1)P.$$

To show this, let $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$; then, by Corollary 3.4(1) and §2(6), we have that $\nu = \sqrt{q} \leq j_5(P) - j_1(P) \leq \sqrt{q}$ (recall that $\deg(\Sigma_2) = \sqrt{q} + 1$). Consequently $j_5^2(P) = \sqrt{q} + 1$ and so (3.2) follows.

This implies that $\Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Then to show the equality it is enough to show that $n + 1 := \dim(\mathcal{D}) \leq 5$. To see this we use Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves in projective spaces as given in [FGT, p.34]: the genus g of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies

$$2g \leq \begin{cases} (2\sqrt{q} - n)^2 / (4n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ ((2\sqrt{q} - n)^2 - 1) / (4n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $n + 1 \geq 6$. Then, since $2g - 2 = (\sqrt{q} - 1)(\sqrt{q} - 3)/4$, we would have

$$(\sqrt{q} - 1)(\sqrt{q} - 3)/4 \leq ((2\sqrt{q} - 5)^2 - 1)/20 = (\sqrt{q} - 3)(\sqrt{q} - 2)/5,$$

a contradiction. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Next we compute the (Σ_1, P) -orders for $P \in \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ and let $P \in \mathcal{X}$.*

- (1) *Two types of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of $\mathcal{X}$ are distinguished:*
 - (a) *regular points, that is, points whose (Σ_1, P) -orders are 0, 1, 2, so that $v_P(R_1) = 0$;*
 - (b) *inflexion points, that is, points whose (Σ_1, P) -orders are 0, 1, $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$, so that $v_P(R_1) = (\sqrt{q} - 3)/2$.*
- (2) *If $P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then the (Σ_1, P) -orders are 0, 1, 2, so that $v_P(R_1) = 0$.*

Proof. For each $P \in \mathcal{X}$ we have that $j_1^1(P) = 1$ because $\mathcal{X}$ is non-singular. So we just need to compute $j(P) := j_2^1(P)$.

We know that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} = \Sigma_2 = 2\Sigma_1$, $\dim(\Sigma_2) = 5$, and that $j_5^2(P) = \sqrt{q} + 1$ provided that $P \in \mathcal{X}$ (see proof of Theorem 3.5). In addition, by [FGT, Thm. 1.4(ii)], $j_5^2(P) = \sqrt{q}$ for $P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Suppose that $j(P) > 2$. Then from Remark 3.3 we must have $j_5^2(P) = 2j(P)$. Since $\sqrt{q}$ is odd, this is the case if and only if $2j(P) = \sqrt{q} + 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, because of the above computations.

The computations for $v_P(R_1)$ follow from §2(3). $\square$

Let

$$M_q = M_q(\mathcal{X}) := \#\{P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) : j_2^1(P) = 2\},$$

and

$$M'_q = M_q(\mathcal{X})' := \#\{P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) : j_2^1(P) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)\}.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. Suppose that $\sqrt{q} \geq 11$. Then*

- (1) $M_q = (\sqrt{q} + 1)(q - \sqrt{q} - 2)/4$;
- (2) $M'_q = 3(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6,

$$(3.3) \quad M_q + M'_q = \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

From this result, Lemma 3.1 and §2(1),

$$(3.4) \quad \deg(R_1) = 3(2g - 2) + \frac{3(\sqrt{q} + 1)}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{q} - 3}{2} M'_q.$$

The result now follows from (3.3) and (3.4), by taking into consideration the maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ and that $2g - 2 = (\sqrt{q} - 5)(\sqrt{q} + 1)/4$. $\square$

4. THE EXAMPLE

In this section we study an example of a plane maximal curve of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. In the next section we will see that this example is, up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism, the unique plane maximal curve of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$.

Let q be a square power of a prime $p \geq 3$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fermat curve given by (1.3). Then $\mathcal{F}$ is non-singular and maximal. This is because $\mathcal{F}$ is covered by the Hermitian curve with equation

$$u^{\sqrt{q}+1} + v^{\sqrt{q}+1} + w^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0$$

via the morphism $(u, v, w) \mapsto (U, V, W) = (u^2, v^2, w^2)$ [La, Prop. 6].

Remark 4.1. (i) The non-inflexion points of $\mathcal{F}$ relative to Σ_1 are the ones over $U = \lambda$, over $V = \lambda$ and over $W = \lambda$ for λ a $(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$ -th root of -1 . To see this we observe that the morphism $U : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ has $(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$ points, say $Q_1, \dots, Q_{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2}$ over $U = \infty$ and it has just one point, say P_i , over $U = \lambda_i$ with $\lambda_i^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = -1$. Hence, for each $i = 1, \dots, (\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$, $\text{div}(U - \alpha_i) = \frac{\sqrt{q}+1}{2}P_i - \sum_j Q_j$. A similar result holds for $\text{div}(V - \alpha_i)$ and $\text{div}(W - \alpha_i)$.

(ii) We then see that the Weierstrass semigroup at any of the $3(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$ points above is $\langle \frac{\sqrt{q}-1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{q}+1}{2} \rangle$. Since this semigroup cannot be the Weierstrass semigroup at a point of the non-singular model $\mathcal{X}$ of $y^{\sqrt{q}} + y = x^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/4}$, $\sqrt{q} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, [G-Vi], we conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$; hence these curves are not $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{(\sqrt{q}-1)/2}, \lambda := \lambda_{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2}$ be the roots of $T^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = -1$, and so each λ_i is in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the non-singular model of the affine curve with equation

$$(4.1) \quad X^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = F(Y),$$

with $F(Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y]$ satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $\deg F = (\sqrt{q} - 1)/2$;
- (b) the roots of F are $c_j := (\lambda_j - \lambda)^{-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, (\sqrt{q} - 1)/2$;
- (c) either $F(0)^{\sqrt{q}-1} = 1$ or $F(0)^{\sqrt{q}-1} = -1$.

Proposition 4.2. *The curve $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. Write $f = U^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} A_j (U - \lambda)^j$ with $A_j = (D_U^j f)(\lambda)$ and D_U^j the j -th Hasse derivative. We have that $A_0 = -1$ and $A_{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = 1$, so that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{U^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + 1}{(U - \lambda)^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2}} = \sum_{j=1}^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} A_j \frac{1}{(U - \lambda)^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2-j}}.$$

Also, equation (1.3) with $W = 1$ is equivalent to

$$\left[\frac{V}{U - \lambda} \right]^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} \frac{-A_j}{U - \lambda^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2-j}}.$$

Consequently for $X = V/(U - \lambda)$ and $Y = 1/(U - \lambda)$ we obtain an equation of type (4.1). From (4.2),

$$F(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} (-A_j) = -Y^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Y} + \lambda \right)^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + 1 \right]$$

belongs to $\mathbb{F}_q[Y]$, it has degree $(\sqrt{q}-1)/2$, its roots are $(\lambda_j - \lambda)^{-1}$ ($j = 1, \dots, (\sqrt{q}-1)/2$), and $F(0) = A_{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} \in \mathbb{F}_{\sqrt{q}}$.

Conversely, let us start with (4.1). Writing $F(Y) = k \prod_{j=1}^{(\sqrt{q}-1)/2} (Y - c_j)$ with $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $c_j := \lambda_j - \lambda$, and setting $X = V/(U - \lambda)$ and $Y = 1/(U - \lambda)$, from (4.1) we find that

$$V^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = k(-1)^{(\sqrt{q}-1)/2} \prod_j c_j (U^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + 1).$$

Since $k(-1)^{(\sqrt{q}-1)/2} \prod_j c_j = F(0) =: c^{-1}$, we then have an equation of type

$$(4.3) \quad cV^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = U^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} + 1 \text{ with } c^{2(\sqrt{q}-1)} = 1.$$

Let $\epsilon \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $c\epsilon^{(\sqrt{q}+1)/2} = -1$. Then (4.3) implies that $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then setting $V = \epsilon V'$ we obtain an equation of type (1.3) with $W = 1$. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Throughout the whole section we let $q \geq 121$ and fix the following notation:

- (a) $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-singular plane maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$;
- (b) $f = 0$ is a minimal equation of $\mathcal{X}$ with $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$.

From Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.4, $\mathcal{X}$ has the following properties:

- (i) $\mathcal{X}$ is classical for Σ_1 ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{X}$ is non-classical for Σ_2 ;
- (iii) $\mathcal{X}$ is Frobenius non-classical for Σ_2 .

Plane curves satisfying (i), (ii), (iii) above have been characterized in terms of their equations [GV], [HK1].

Lemma 5.1. *There exist $h, s, z_0, \dots, z_5 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad hf = z_0^{\sqrt{q}} + z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + z_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + z_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + z_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad sf = z_0 + z_1X^{\sqrt{q}} + z_2Y^{\sqrt{q}} + z_3X^{2\sqrt{q}} + z_4(XY)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_5Y^{2\sqrt{q}}.$$

For a point $P = (a, b, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $z_i(a, b) \neq 0$ for at least one index i , $0 \leq i \leq 5$, the conic with equation

$$z_0(a, b) + z_1(a, b)X + z_2(a, b)Y + z_3(a, b)X^2 + z_4(a, b)XY + z_5(a, b)Y^2 = 0$$

is the osculating conic of $\mathcal{X}$ at P .

Note that equation (5.2) is invariant under any change of projective coordinates. To see how the polynomials z_i change, we introduce the matrix

$$(5.3) \quad \Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 2z_0 & z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & 2z_3 & z_4 \\ z_3 & z_4 & 2z_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

and use homogeneous coordinates $(X) = (X_0, X_1, X_2)$. Now, if the change from (X) to (X') is given by $(X) = A(X')$ where A is a non-singular matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then (5.2) becomes, again in non-homogeneous coordinates,

$$(5.4) \quad HF = Z_0^{\sqrt{q}} + Z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X' + Z_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y' + Z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X'^2 + Z_4^{\sqrt{q}}X'Y' + Z_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y'^2,$$

where $H, F, Z_0, \dots, Z_5 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X', Y']$ and $F = 0$ is the equation of $\mathcal{X}$ with respect to the new coordinate system. Also,

$$(5.5) \quad \Delta(Z_0, \dots, Z_5) = B^{tr} \Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5) B,$$

where B is the matrix satisfying $B^{\sqrt{q}} = A$. If A is a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $Z_0, \dots, Z_5 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X', Y']$, and (5.1) becomes

$$(5.6) \quad SF = Z_0 + Z_1X'^{\sqrt{q}} + Z_2Y'^{\sqrt{q}} + Z_3X'^{2\sqrt{q}} + Z_4(X'Y')^{\sqrt{q}} + Z_5Y'^{2\sqrt{q}}.$$

For a rational function $u \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$, the symbol $v_P(u)$ denotes the order of u at $P \in \mathcal{X}$. Note that z_i , for $0 \leq i \leq 5$, can be viewed as a rational function of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$. We define $e_P := -\min_{0 \leq i \leq 5} v_P(z_i)$.

Lemma 5.2. *For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, the order $v_P(\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)))$ is either $2+e_P$ or e_P according as P is an inflexion point or not.*

Proof. Take P as the origin and the tangent to $\mathcal{X}$ at P as the X -axis. Since P is a non-singular point of $\mathcal{X}$, there exists a formal power series $y(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[[x]]$ of order ≥ 1 , such that $f(x, y(x)) = 0$. For $0 \leq i \leq 5$, put $m_i = z_i(x, y(x))x^{e_P}$, so that $v_P(m_i(x)) \geq 0$. From (5.1)

$$m_0(x)^{\sqrt{q}} + m_1(x)^{\sqrt{q}}x + m_2(x)^{\sqrt{q}}(y(x)) + m_3(x)^{\sqrt{q}}x^2 + m_4(x)^{\sqrt{q}}(xy(x)) + m_5(x)^{\sqrt{q}}y(x)^2 = 0.$$

Putting $y = c_s x^s + \dots$, with $c_s \neq 0$ and $k_i = v_P(m_i(x))$, the left-hand side is the sum of six formal power series in the variable x whose orders are as follows:

$$k_0\sqrt{q}, k_1\sqrt{q} + 1, k_2\sqrt{q} + s, k_3\sqrt{q} + 2, k_4\sqrt{q} + s + 1, k_5\sqrt{q} + 2s.$$

At least two of these orders are equal, and they are less than or equal to the remaining four. Because of Lemma 3.6 we have two possibilities:

- (1) $s = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$, that is, P is an inflexion point, and $k_0 \geq 2$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 \geq 1$, $k_3 \geq 1$, $k_4 \geq 1$, $k_5 = 0$;
- (2) $s = 2$, that is, P is a regular point, and $k_0 \geq 1$, $k_1 \geq 1$, $k_2 = k_3 = 0$, $k_4 \geq 0$, $k_5 \geq 0$.

In case (1), $\det(\Delta(z_0(x), \dots, z_5(x))) = x^{e_P}[cx^2 + \dots]$, where $c = -c_5c_1^2$ with $m_5(x) = c_5 + \dots$ and $m_1(x) = c_1x + \dots$. In case (2), $\det(\Delta(z_0(x), \dots, z_5(x))) = x^{e_P}[c + \dots]$, where $c = -c_3c_4$ with $m_3(x) = c_3 + \dots$, and $m_4(x) = c_4 + \dots$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Following [SV, §1], let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ be the morphism where $\phi(Q) = (z_0, \dots, z_5)$, for a point $Q \in \mathcal{X}$, and $z_i \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$. Since $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is a non-singular point of $\mathcal{X}$, there exists a formal power series $y(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[[x]]$ of order ≥ 1 such that $f(x + a, y(x) + b) = 0$, where $P = (a, b, 1)$. Let

$$m_i(x) = z_i(x + a, y(x) + b)x^{e_P},$$

with $i = 0, \dots, 5$. Then we have

$$\phi(P) = (m_0(x), \dots, m_5(x)),$$

which is a primitive branch representation of $\phi(P)$.

Lemma 5.3. *The degree of $\phi(\mathcal{X})$ is $\sqrt{q} + 1$.*

Proof. Let Σ denote the cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ given by (5.3). By the previous lemma, the intersection multiplicity $I(\phi(\mathcal{X}), \Sigma; \phi(P))$ of $\phi(\mathcal{X})$ and Σ at $\phi(P)$ is either 2 or 0 according as P is an inflexion point or a regular point of $\mathcal{X}$. This shows that $\phi(\mathcal{X})$ is not contained in Σ . From Bézout's theorem and Theorem 3.7(2), we obtain $3 \deg(\phi(\mathcal{X})) = 2.3(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$, whence $\deg(\phi(\mathcal{X})) = \sqrt{q} + 1$. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *For a generic point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a hyperplane H such that*

- (1) $I(\phi(\mathcal{X}), H; \phi(P)) \geq \sqrt{q}$;
- (2) *the Frobenius image $\Phi(\phi(P))$ lies on H .*

Proof. Choose a point $P = (a, b, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $z_i(a, b) \neq 0$ for at least one index i , with $0 \leq i \leq 5$. Then $\phi(P) = (z_0(a, b), z_1(a, b), z_2(a, b), z_3(a, b), z_4(a, b), z_5(a, b))$. Note that all points of $\mathcal{X}$, apart from a finite number of them, are of this kind. Let H be the hyperplane with equation $X_0 + \alpha X_1 + \beta X_2 + \alpha^2 X_3 + \alpha\beta X_4 + \beta^2 X_5 = 0$, where $\alpha = a\sqrt{q}$ and $\beta = b\sqrt{q}$. There exists a formal power series $y(x)$ of order ≥ 1 such that $f(x + a, y(x) + b) = 0$. Putting $z_i(x) = z_i(x + a, y(x) + b)$, we have

$$I(\phi(\mathcal{X}), \Sigma; \phi(P)) = \text{ord}\{z_0(x) + \alpha z_1(x) + \beta z_2(x) + \alpha^2 z_3(x) + \alpha\beta z_4(x) + \beta^2 z_5(x)\}.$$

From (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0(x) + z_1(x)(x+a)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_2(x)(y(x)+b)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_3(x)(x+a)^{2\sqrt{q}} + \\ & + z_4(x)((x+a)(y(x)+b))^{\sqrt{q}} + z_5(x)(y(x)+b)^{2\sqrt{q}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y(x)$ has order ≥ 1 , that is, $y(x) = cx + \dots$, then

$$z_0(x) + z_1(x)a^{\sqrt{q}} + z_2(x)b^{\sqrt{q}} + z_3(x)a^{2\sqrt{q}} + z_4(x)(ab)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_5(x)b^{2\sqrt{q}} + x^{\sqrt{q}}[\dots] = 0,$$

which proves (1).

To check (2), note that (5.1) yields

$$z_0(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_1(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}}a + z_2(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}}b + z_3(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}}a^2 + z_4(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}}(ab) + z_5(a, b)^{\sqrt{q}}b^2 = 0.$$

Thus

$$z_0(a, b)^q + z_1(a, b)^qa^{\sqrt{q}} + z_2(a, b)^qb^{\sqrt{q}} + z_3(a, b)^qa^{2\sqrt{q}} + z_4(a, b)^q(ab)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_5(a, b)^qb^{2\sqrt{q}} = 0.$$

Since

$$\Phi(\phi(P)) = (z_0(a, b)^q, z_1(a, b)^q, z_2(a, b)^q, z_3(a, b)^q, z_4(a, b)^q, z_5(a, b)^q),$$

and $\alpha = a^{\sqrt{q}}, \beta = b^{\sqrt{q}}$, so (2) follows. $\square$

Now, the linear series of hyperplanes sections of $\phi(\mathcal{X})$ is equivalent to the base-point-free linear series $\mathcal{D} - E$, where $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{P}(\langle z_0, \dots, z_5 \rangle)$ and $E := \sum_{P \in \mathcal{X}} e_P P$. By Lemma 5.3, this linear series is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} = |(\sqrt{q} + 1)P_0|$, $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, because $\mathcal{X}$ is maximal; hence $(\sqrt{q} + 1)P_0 \sim \sqrt{q}P + \Phi_{\mathcal{X}}(P)$ ([FGT, Corollary 1.2]). Note that we do not assert that equality holds. In fact, this is the case if and only if $\phi(\mathcal{X})$ is not degenerate, that is, $z_0, \dots, z_5$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearly independent. This gives the following result.

Lemma 5.5. *The base-point-free linear series of $\mathcal{X}$ generated by $z_0, \dots, z_5$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

The next step is to determine the degrees of the z_i .

Lemma 5.6. *The degrees satisfy $\max_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \deg(z_i) = 2$.*

Proof. We have seen that the base-point-free linear series $\sum_{i=0}^5 c_i z_i - E$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$; hence it is contained in the linear series cut out by conics on $\mathcal{X}$, by Theorem 3.5. This implies the existence of constants $d_j^{(i)}$ such that $\text{div}(z_i) - E = \text{div}(d_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, 5$, where

$$d_i = d_i(X, Y) = d_0^{(i)} + d_1^{(i)}X + d_2^{(i)}Y + d_3^{(i)}X^2 + d_4^{(i)}XY + d_5^{(i)}Y^2.$$

Choose an index k such that $z_k(X, Y) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f(X, Y)}$. Then $\text{div}(z_i/z_k) = \text{div}(d_i/d_k)$. Thus $z_i(X, Y)d_k(X, Y) \equiv z_k(X, Y)d_i(X, Y) \pmod{f(X, Y)}$. Now, re-write (5.1) in terms of $d_i(X, Y)$:

$$hfd_k = z_k^{\sqrt{q}}(d_0^{\sqrt{q}} + d_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + d_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + d_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + d_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + d_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2).$$

Since $z_k(X, Y) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f(X, Y)}$, so $f(X, Y)$ must divide the other factor on the right-hand side, and hence there exists $g \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X, Y]$ such that

$$gf = d_0^{\sqrt{q}} + d_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + d_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + d_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + d_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + d_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2,$$

with $\deg(d_i) \leq 2$, for $i = 0, \dots, 5$. Thus we may assume that $g = h$ and $d_i(X, Y) = z_i(X, Y)$ all i . It remains to show that at least one of the polynomials $z_i(X, Y)$ has degree 2. However, if $\deg(z_i(X, Y)) \leq 1$ for all i , then the linear series generated by $z_0, \dots, z_5$ would be contained in the linear series cut out by lines. But this would imply that $\deg(\phi(\mathcal{X})) \leq (\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$, contradicting Lemma 5.3. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *The polynomials h and s in Lemma 5.1 may be assumed to be equal.*

Proof. Since $\deg(z_i) \leq 2$ for all i , we can re-write

$$z_0 + z_1X^{\sqrt{q}} + z_2Y^{\sqrt{q}} + z_3X^{2\sqrt{q}} + z_4(XY)^{\sqrt{q}} + z_5Y^{2\sqrt{q}}$$

in the form

$$w_0^{\sqrt{q}} + w_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + w_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + w_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + w_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + w_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2,$$

where $w_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ and $\max_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \deg(w_i) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \deg(z_i)$. Comparing this with (5.1) we see that z_i and w_i only differ by a constant in $\mathbb{F}_q$ independent of i , $0 \leq i \leq 5$. Substituting cz_i for w_i then gives

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &w_0^{\sqrt{q}} + w_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + w_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + w_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + w_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + w_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2 = \\ &c^{\sqrt{q}}(z_0^{\sqrt{q}} + z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + z_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + z_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + z_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2) = c^{\sqrt{q}}hf. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the previous lemma we can write z_i explicitly in the form

$$(5.8) \quad z_i = t_0^{(i)} + t_1^{(i)}X + t_2^{(i)}Y + t_3^{(i)}X^2 + t_4^{(i)}XY + t_5^{(i)}Y^2,$$

for $i = 0, \dots, 5$. Let $t := c^{\sqrt{q}}h$; then (5.7) yields that $(t_j^{(i)})^{\sqrt{q}} = ct_i^{(j)}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 5$. Putting $i = j$, this gives $c^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 1$. Choose an element k in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ such that $k^{\sqrt{q}-1} = c$, and put $d_i = k^{-1}z_i$, $0 \leq i \leq 5$. Then (5.1) and (5.2) become respectively

$$hk^{-\sqrt{q}}f = d_0^{\sqrt{q}} + d_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + d_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + d_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + d_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + d_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2,$$

and

$$tk^{-1}f = k(d_0 + d_1X^{\sqrt{q}} + d_2Y^{\sqrt{q}} + d_3X^{2\sqrt{q}} + d_4(XY)^{\sqrt{q}} + d_5Y^{2\sqrt{q}}).$$

Put $h' = hk^{-\sqrt{q}}$ and $t' = tk^{-1}$. Then $h' = t'$, and this completes the proof. $\square$

Next we determine explicitly the coefficients $t_j^{(i)}$ given in (5.8), or equivalently the 6×6 matrix $T = (t_j^{(i)})$. From Lemma 5.7 we can assume that

$$(5.9) \quad (t_j^{(i)})^{\sqrt{q}} = t_i^{(j)}.$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq 5$. In other words, we can assume that T is a Hermitian matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{\sqrt{q}}$.

To obtain further relations between elements of T , we go back to (5.3) and note that

$$(\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)))^{\sqrt{q}} = 0$$

can actually be regarded as the equation of the Hessian curve $\mathcal{H}(Z)$ associated to the algebraic curve $\mathcal{Z}$ with equation

$$z_0^{\sqrt{q}} + z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + z_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + z_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + z_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2 = 0;$$

here $z_i = z_i(X, Y)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{Z})$ is $\sqrt{q}$ -fold covered by the curve $\mathcal{C}$ with equation $\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)) = 0$, and Lemma 5.2 can be interpreted in terms of intersection multiplicities between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{X}$; namely, $I(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}; P)$ is either $2 + e_P$ or e_P according as $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is an inflexion point or not. Now, $I(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{X}; P) = s(P) - 2$, where $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X})$ is the Hessian of $\mathcal{X}$ and $s(P) := I(\mathcal{X}, l; P)$, with l the tangent to $\mathcal{X}$ at the point P ; see, for example, [Wa, Ch.4, §6]) and, for a characteristic-free approach to Hessian curves, see [OO, Ch.17]). Comparing the intersection divisors $\mathcal{C}.\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X}).\mathcal{X}$, we see that $\frac{n-2}{2}\mathcal{C}.\mathcal{X} \geq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X}).\mathcal{X}$ with $n = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. Hence, by Noether's "AF + BG" Theorem, [Sei, p. 133], we obtain

$$(\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)))^{(n-2)/2} = AF + BG,$$

with F the projectivization of f and A, B, G homogeneous polynomials in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X_0, X_1, X_2]$, where $G = 0$ is the equation of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{X})$. As $\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5))$ is a polynomial of degree 6 (cf. Lemma 5.6), while $\deg(G) = 3(n - 2)$, so B must be a constant. This yields that $e_P = 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{X}$. For an inflexion point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, we can now infer from the proof of Lemma 5.2 that if $P = (0, 0, 1)$ and l is the X -axis, then $z_i(0, 0) = 0$, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, and thus $\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5))$ has no terms of degree ≤ 2 . This shows that each inflexion point P of $\mathcal{X}$ is a singular point of $\mathcal{C}$.

By a standard argument depending on the upper bound $(m - 1)(m - 2)/2$ for the number of singular points of an absolutely irreducible algebraic curve of degree m , it can be shown that $\mathcal{C}$ is doubly covered by an absolutely irreducible cubic curve $\mathcal{U}$ of equation $u = 0$, with u homogeneous in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X_0, X_1, X_2]$. Hence,

$$(5.10) \quad \det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)) = u^2.$$

Consider now a minor Δ_{ij} of $\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)$, and suppose that Δ_{ij} is not the zero polynomial. Then $\Delta_{ij} = 0$ can be regarded as the equation of a quartic curve $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$ also passes through each inflexion point of $\mathcal{X}$, so $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ have at least $3n$ common points. On the other hand, $\deg(\mathcal{V}_{ij})\deg(\mathcal{U}) = 12$, and because $3n > 12$, so $\mathcal{U}$ is a component of $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$. This shows the existence of linear homogeneous polynomials $l_0, \dots, l_5 \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ such that

$$(5.11) \quad 4z_3z_5 - z_4^2 = ul_0, \quad 2z_1z_5 - z_2z_4 = -ul_1, \quad z_1z_4 - 2z_2z_3 = ul_2,$$

$$(5.12) \quad 4z_0z_5 - z_2^2 = ul_3, \quad 2z_0z_4 - z_1z_2 = -ul_4, \quad 4z_0z_3 - z_1^2 = ul_5.$$

Let L denote the matrix $\Delta(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5)$. From elementary linear algebra, $\Delta^* = uL$ where Δ^* is the adjoint of $\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)$, and hence $(\det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5)))^2 = u^3\det(L)$.

Comparison with (5.10) gives $u = \det(L)$. Thus $\Delta^* = \det(L)L$. Also, $\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5) = \det(L)L^{-1}$; that is,

$$(5.13) \quad 2z_0 = l_3l_5 - l_4^2, \quad z_1 = -(l_1l_5 - l_2l_4), \quad z_2 = l_1l_4 - l_2l_3,$$

$$(5.14) \quad 2z_3 = l_0l_5 - l_2^2, \quad z_4 = -(l_0l_4 - l_1l_2), \quad 2z_5 = l_0l_3 - l_1^2.$$

Note that we have also seen that $\mathcal{U}$ has equation $\det(L) = 0$.

Set

$$l_i = a_iX + b_iY + c_i, \text{ for } i = 0, 2, 3, 5,$$

$$l_i = -a_iX - b_iY - c_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 4.$$

By (5.8) and (5.13), we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (c_3c_5 - c_4^2)/2 &= t_0^{(0)}, & (a_3c_5 + c_3a_5 - 2a_4c_4)/2 &= t_1^{(0)}, & (b_3c_5 + c_3b_5 - 2b_4c_4)/2 &= t_2^{(0)}, \\ (a_3a_5 - a_4^2)/2 &= t_3^{(0)}, & (a_3b_5 + b_3a_5 - 2a_4b_4)/2 &= t_4^{(0)}, & (b_3b_5 - b_4^2)/2 &= t_5^{(0)}; \\ c_1c_5 - c_2c_4 &= t_0^{(1)}, & a_1c_5 + c_1a_5 - a_2c_4 - c_2a_4 &= t_1^{(1)}, & b_1c_5 + c_1b_5 - b_2c_4 - c_2b_4 &= t_2^{(1)}, \\ a_1a_5 - a_2a_4 &= t_3^{(1)}, & a_1b_5 + b_1a_5 - a_2b_4 - b_2a_4 &= t_4^{(1)}, & b_1b_5 - b_2b_4 &= t_5^{(1)}; \\ c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 &= t_0^{(2)}, & a_1c_4 + c_1a_4 - a_2c_3 - c_3a_2 &= t_1^{(2)}, & b_1c_4 + c_1b_4 - b_2c_3 - c_2b_3 &= t_2^{(2)}, \\ a_1a_4 - a_2a_3 &= t_3^{(2)}, & a_1b_4 + b_1a_4 - a_2b_3 - b_2a_3 &= t_4^{(2)}, & b_1b_4 - b_2b_3 &= t_5^{(2)}; \\ (c_0c_5 - c_2^2)/2 &= t_0^{(3)}, & (a_0c_5 + c_0a_5 - 2a_2c_2)/2 &= t_1^{(3)}, & (b_0c_5 + c_0b_5 - 2b_2c_2)/2 &= t_2^{(3)}, \\ (a_0a_5 - a_2^2)/2 &= t_3^{(3)}, & (a_0b_5 + b_0a_5 - 2a_2b_2)/2 &= t_4^{(3)}, & (b_0b_5 - b_2^2)/2 &= t_5^{(3)}; \\ c_0c_4 - c_1c_2 &= t_0^{(4)}, & a_0c_4 + c_0a_4 - a_1c_2 - c_1a_2 &= t_1^{(4)}, & b_0c_4 + c_0b_4 - b_1c_2 - c_2b_1 &= t_2^{(4)}, \\ a_0a_4 - a_1a_2 &= t_3^{(4)}, & a_0b_4 + b_0a_4 - a_1b_2 - b_1a_2 &= t_4^{(4)}, & b_0b_4 - b_1b_2 &= t_5^{(4)}; \\ (c_0c_3 - c_1^2)/2 &= t_0^{(5)}, & (a_0c_3 + c_0a_3 - 2a_1c_1)/2 &= t_1^{(5)}, & (b_0c_3 + c_0b_3 - 2b_1c_1)/2 &= t_2^{(5)}, \\ (a_0a_3 - a_1^2)/2 &= t_3^{(5)}, & (a_0b_3 + b_0a_3 - 2a_1b_1)/2 &= t_4^{(5)}, & (b_0b_3 - b_1^2)/2 &= t_5^{(5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we take an inflexion point $P \in \mathcal{X}$ to be the origin and the tangent of $\mathcal{X}$ at P to be the X -axis. Also, since $I(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}; P) = 1$, so P is a non-singular point of $\mathcal{U}$, and the tangent to $\mathcal{U}$ at P is not the X -axis. We take this tangent to be the Y -axis. We want to show that the Y -axis is a component of $\mathcal{U}$. As we have seen before, $z_0(0, 0) = \dots z_4(0, 0)$, but $z_5(0, 0) \neq 0$. This implies that $t_0^{(0)} = \dots = t_4^{(0)} = 0$, $t_5^{(0)} \neq 0$. By (5.11) with $u = \det(L)$, $t_0^{(0)} = \dots = t_0^{(4)} = 0$, $t_0^{(5)} \neq 0$. Note that this means, in terms of the l_i , that $c_2 = c_4 = c_5 = 0$, $c_1 \neq 0$. Putting $k = t_0^{(5)}$, we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad z_0(X, Y) = kY^2.$$

Thus the first relation in (5.11), with $u = \det(L)$, yields $c_3 = 0$. Then an easy computation shows that $\det(L) = c_1^2(a_5X + b_5Y) + \text{terms of degree } \geq 2$, in X, Y . Thus $a_5 \neq 0$ but

$b_5 = 0$, since we have taken the tangent to $\mathcal{U}$ at P to be the Y -axis. Hence

$$(5.16) \quad l_5 = a_5 X, \quad \text{with } a_5 \neq 0.$$

Also, $t_2^{(1)} = 0$; then (5.9) gives $t_1^{(2)} = 0$, whence $a_4 = 0$. Since $k \neq 0$ and $b_5 = 0$, we obtain

$$(5.17) \quad l_4 = -b_4 Y, \quad b_4 \neq 0.$$

The first relation in (5.11), again with $u = \det(L)$, together with (5.15) and (5.16) shows that $2kY^2 = l_3 a_5 X - b_4^2 Y^2$, whence

$$(5.18) \quad l_3 = 0.$$

With $b = b_4^{-\sqrt{q}}$, let A be the diagonal matrix with $\text{diag}(1, 1, b\sqrt{q})$. Change the coordinates from (X) to (X') by $(X) = A(X')$. From the results quoted after Lemma 5.1, equations (5.1) and (5.2) become (5.4) and (5.6), where

$$\begin{aligned} H(X', Y') &= h(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), & F(X', Y') &= f(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), \\ Z_0(X', Y') &= z_0(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y') = b^{2\sqrt{q}}kY'^2, & Z_1(X', Y') &= bz_1(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), \\ Z_2(X', Y') &= bz_2(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), & Z_3(X', Y') &= z_3(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), \\ Z_4(X', Y') &= bz_4(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'), & Z_5(X', Y') &= b^2z_1(b\sqrt{q}X', b\sqrt{q}Y'); \end{aligned}$$

by (5.6), $\det(\Delta(Z_0, \dots, Z_5)) = b^{2\sqrt{q}} \det(\Delta(z_0, \dots, z_5))$. Since $b^{2\sqrt{q}} = b_4^{-2}$, and $k = t_5^{(0)} = b_4^2/2$, this allows us to assume that

$$(5.19) \quad z_0(X, Y) = -Y^2/2,$$

that is, $t_5^{(0)} = -1/2$. Then $b_4^2 = 1$ and, by (5.9), $c_1^2 = 1$. Note that both b and c are in $\mathbb{F}_{\sqrt{q}}$.

The next step is to show that

$$(5.20) \quad b_0 b_4 - 2b_1 b_2 = 0,$$

$$(5.21) \quad c_0 b_4 - 2c_1 b_2 = 0.$$

Assume first that $b_4 = c_4$. As $t_5^{(1)} = -b_2 b_4$ and $t_1^{(5)} = -a_1 c_1$, so (5.9) yields $a_1^{\sqrt{q}} = b_2$. Similarly, $-b_1^{\sqrt{q}} = b_1$ follows from $t_5^{(2)} = -b_1 b_4$ and $t_2^{(5)} = -b_1 c_1$, while $(-a_1 b_1)^{\sqrt{q}} = b_0 b_4 - b_1 b_2$ comes from $t_5^{(4)} = b_0 b_4 - b_1 b_2$ and $t_4^{(5)} = -a_1 b_1$, again by (5.9). Putting together these relations, (5.20) follows. Since $t_4^{(2)} = a_1 b_4$ and $t_2^{(4)} = b_4 c_0 - b_2 c_1$, so (5.9) yields $(a_1 b_4)^{\sqrt{q}} = b_4 c_0 - b_2 c_1$. Since $(a_1 b_4)^{\sqrt{q}} = b_2 b_4 = b_2 c_1$, so (5.21) follows. The proof for the case $b_4 = -c_4$ is similar, and we omit it.

From the above results we infer the following.

Lemma 5.8. *If $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is an inflexion, then $\mathcal{U}$ has a linear component through P .*

Proof. We prove that the Y -axis is a linear component of $\mathcal{U}$. Equivalently, we can show that $\mathcal{X}$ is a factor of $\det(L)$. By (5.16) and (5.18), we must check that $\mathcal{X}$ divides $l_0 l_4 - 2l_1 l_2$.

By (5.16) and $c_2 = 0$, this occurs if the polynomial $(b_0b_4 - 2b_1b_2)Y^2 + (c_0b_4 - 2c_1b_1)Y$ is identically zero. Hence the result is a consequence of (5.20) and (5.21). $\square$

It was shown in Theorem 3.7 that $\mathcal{X}$ has $3(\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$ inflexion points altogether, and each one lies on a linear component of $\mathcal{U}$.

Corollary 5.9. *The cubic $\mathcal{U}$ splits into three pairwise distinct lines.*

Let Q be an inflexion point of $\mathcal{X}$. Take Q as the infinite point Y_∞ and the tangent to $\mathcal{X}$ at Q to be the line at infinity. Write (5.1) in homogeneous coordinates and change coordinates from (X_0, X_1, X_2) to (X_2, X_1, X_0) . Then (5.1) becomes

$$HF = Z_0^{\sqrt{q}}X_0^2 + Z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X_0X_1 + Z_2^{\sqrt{q}}X_0X_2 + Z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X_1^2 + Z_4^{\sqrt{q}}X_1X_2 + Z_5^{\sqrt{q}}X_2^2,$$

where $Z_i(X_0, X_1, X_2) = z_{5-i}(X_2, X_1, X_0)$, $i = 0, \dots, 5$. As Q is now the origin and the tangent to $\mathcal{X}$ at Q is the X -axis, so (5.15) gives $Z_0(X_0, X_1, X_2) = cX_2^2$ with a non-zero constant c . From $Z_0(X_0, X_1, X_2) = z_5(X_2, X_1, X_0)$, we then have that $z_5(X_0, X_1, X_2) = cX_0^2$ and hence $z_5(X, Y) = c$ in non-homogeneous coordinates. In terms of $t_i^{(j)}$, this means that $t_i^{(5)} = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$; hence $a_1 = b_1 = 0$. Taking (5.9) into account we also have $t_5^{(i)} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, which yields $b_2b_4 = b_2b_3 = b_0b_5 - b^2_2 = b_0b_4 = b_0b_3 = 0$. Since $b_3 = 0$ but $b_4 \neq 0$, we get $a_0 = b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$. Hence $l_0 = a_0X$, $l_1 = c_1$, $l_2 = -a_2X$, $l_3 = 0$, $l_4 = -b_4Y$, $l_5 = a_5X$, where $c_1^2 = b_4^2 = 1$. Note that substituting each l_i with $-l_i$, z_i in (5.13) does not change. This allows us to put $c_1 = 1$. Actually, we can also assume $b_4 = 1$, as the change of coordinates $X' = X$, $Y' = -Y$ changes l_4 into $-l_4$ while preserving the other l_i . Thus $t_1^{(4)} = -a_2$, $t_4^{(1)} = a_2$, $t_1^{(1)} = a_5$, $t_4^{(4)} = a_0$, whence $a_0, a_2, a_5 \in \mathbb{F}_{\sqrt{q}}$ by (5.9). The following has therefore been shown.

Lemma 5.10. *There exist $a_0, a_2, a_5 \in \mathbb{F}_{\sqrt{q}}$ such that*

$$(5.22) \quad l_0 = a_0X, \quad l_1 = 1, \quad l_2 = -a_2X, \quad l_3 = 0, \quad l_4 = -Y, \quad l_5 = a_5X,$$

$$(5.23) \quad z_0 = -Y^2/2, \quad z_1 = -a_5X + a_2XY, \quad z_2 = -Y,$$

$$(5.24) \quad z_3 = (a_0a_5 - a_2^2)/2X^2, \quad z_4 = a_0XY - a_2X, \quad z_5 = -1/2.$$

Now we want to show that, if $R = (0, \eta)$ is any further inflexion point of $\mathcal{X}$ lying on the Y -axis, then the tangent line r to $\mathcal{X}$ at R has equation $Y = \eta$. To do this it is sufficient to check that the curve $\mathcal{Z}$ with equation

$$z_0^{\sqrt{q}} + z_1^{\sqrt{q}}X + z_2^{\sqrt{q}}Y + z_3^{\sqrt{q}}X^2 + z_4^{\sqrt{q}}XY + z_5^{\sqrt{q}}Y^2 = 0$$

has a cusp at R , that is, a double point with only one tangent, such that the tangent is the horizontal line $Y = \eta$. Applying the translation $X' = X$, $Y' = Y - \eta$, the curve $\mathcal{Z}$ is transformed into the curve with equation

$$-(\eta^{\sqrt{q}} + \eta)^2/2 + (\eta^{\sqrt{q}} + \eta)Y - Y^2/2 + \alpha = 0,$$

where α represents terms of degree at least 3. Since this curve passes through the origin, we have $\eta^{\sqrt{q}} + \eta = 0$. Hence the lowest degree term is $-Y^2/2$ and so the origin is a cusp with tangent line $Y = 0$, as required. This gives the following situation.

Theorem 5.11. *There exists a triangle such that the inflexion points of $\mathcal{X}$ lie $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ on each side, none a vertex, and the inflexional tangents pass $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ through each vertex, none being a side.*

We are now in a position to prove the main result, Theorem 1.1, stated in §1.

Let $n = (\sqrt{q} + 1)/2$. We choose the triangle $\mathcal{T}$ of Theorem 5.11 as triangle of reference, and denote the inflexions on the X -axis by $(\xi_i, 0)$, $i = 1 \dots n$, and those on the Y -axis by $(0, \eta_i)$, $i = 1 \dots n$. Also, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi_1^n + 1 = 0$ and $\eta_1^n + 1 = 0$. Write $f(X, Y)$ in the form

$$f = a_0(X)Y^n + \dots + a_j(X)Y^{n-j} + \dots + a_n(X),$$

with $a_i(X)$ of degree i in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Since $(\xi_i, 0)$ lies on $\mathcal{X}$, so $a_n(\xi_i) = 0$. Since the line $x = \xi_i$ is the inflexional tangent at $(\xi_i, 0)$, so

$$a_0(\xi_i)Y^n + \dots + a_{n-1}(\xi_i)Y = 0$$

has n repeated roots. So

$$a_1(\xi_i) = \dots = a_{n-1}(\xi_i) = 0.$$

Since is true for all ξ_i ,

$$a_1(X) = \dots = a_{n-1}(X) = 0.$$

Hence $f(X, Y) = a_0Y^n + a_n(X)$. A similar argument shows that $f(X, Y) = b_0X^n + b_n(Y)$. Thus $f(X, Y) = a_0X^n + b_0Y^n + c_0$, and it only remains to compute the coefficients. Since $f(\xi_1, 0) = 0$ and $\xi_1^n + 1 = 0$, we have $a_0 = c_0$. Similarly, from $\eta_1^n + 1 = 0$ we infer $b_0 = c_0$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The authors were supported respectively by a NATO grant, G.N.S.A.G.A. Italian C.N.R., and Cnpq-Brazil.

REFERENCES

- [AT] M. Abdon and F. Torres, On maximal curves in characteristic two, in preparation.
- [FGT] R. Fuhrmann, A. Garcia and F. Torres, On maximal curves, *J. Number Theory* **67**, 29–51 (1997).
- [FT] R. Fuhrmann and F. Torres, The genus of curves over finite fields with many rational points, *Manuscripta Math.* **89** (1996), 103–106.
- [FT1] R. Fuhrmann and F. Torres, On Weierstrass points and optimal curves, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Suppl.* **51** (1998), 25–46 (alg-geom/9709013).
- [Ho-G] A. Garcia and M. Homma, Frobenius order-sequences of curves, *Algebra and Number Theory* (Eds. G. Frey, J. Ritter), Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1994, pp. 27–41.
- [G-Vi] A. Garcia and P. Viana, Weierstrass points on certain non-classical curves, *Arch. Math.* **46** (1986), 315–322.
- [GV] A. Garcia and J.F. Voloch, Wronskians and linear independence in fields of prime characteristic, *Manuscripta Math.* **59** (1987), 457–469.
- [H] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries Over Finite Fields*, second edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.

- [HK] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and G. Korchmáros, Embedding an arc into a conic in a finite plane, *Finite Fields Appl.* **2** (1996), 274–292.
- [HK1] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and G. Korchmáros, On the number of points on an algebraic curve over a finite field, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, to appear.
- [Ho] M. Homma, A souped-up version of Pardini’s theorem and its applications to funny curves, *Compositio Math.* **71** (1989), 295–302.
- [Ih] Y. Ihara, Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo* **28** (1981), 721–724.
- [La] G. Lachaud, Sommes d’Eisenstein et nombre de points de certaines courbes algébriques sur les corps finis, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), 729–732.
- [OO] G. Orzech, O. Orzech, *Plane Algebraic Curves*, M. Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [Par] R. Pardini, Some remarks on plane curves over fields of finite characteristic, *Compositio. Math.* **60** (1986), 3–17.
- [R-Sti] H.G. Rück and H. Stichtenoth, A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **457** (1994), 185–188.
- [Sei] A. Seidenberg, *Elements of the Theory of Algebraic Curves*, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass. 1969.
- [Sti] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [Sti-X] H. Stichtenoth and C.P. Xing, The genus of maximal function fields, *Manuscripta Math.* **86** (1995), 217–224.
- [SV] K.O. Stöhr and J.F. Voloch, Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1986), 1–19.
- [Wa] R.J. Walker, *Algebraic Curves*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1950 (Dover, New York, 1962).
- [We] A. Weil, *Courbes Algébriques et Variétés Abeliennes*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.

DIPARTIMENTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA BASILICATA, POTENZA, 85100, ITALY
E-mail address: `cossidente@unibas.it`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX, BRIGHTON BN1 9QH, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: `jwph@sussex.ac.uk`

DIPARTIMENTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA BASILICATA, POTENZA, 85100, ITALY
E-mail address: `korchmaros@unibas.it`

IMECC-UNICAMP, Cx. P. 6065, CAMPINAS, 13083-970-SP, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `ftorres@ime.unicamp.br`